



IMDRF

International Medical
Device Regulators Forum

Update on WHO work

Irena Prat

World Health Organization

Tokyo, 24-26 March 2015



What's new since September 2014

- Regulatory strengthening
- Prequalification of IVDs:
 - Product dossier
 - Inspections
- Ebola-related work



ToC/RPS
MDSAP



IMDRF

International Medical
Device Regulators Forum

Revised Model Regulatory Framework for medical devices

- ‘Regulating medical devices: guiding principles and phasing implementation’
 - definition of a medical device
 - the product cycle of a medical device
 - guiding principles for regulating medical devices: risk based model for market access, conformity assessment, reliance model, vigilance
 - critical elements for regulating medical devices: regulatory system, import controls, distribution channel control
 - phases of regulatory implementation (modular model)³



National Regulatory System (NRA) assessment tool

Harmonised NRA-assessment tool for vaccines, medicines, medical devices (including IVDs), blood products and traditional medicines

Outcome of the international consultation January 2015:

Phase I: common elements for all product streams

Phase II: specific elements for distinctive product stream

Involving existing models like MDSAP, PIC/s, BEMA

Deciding on indicators for each product stream in the next months



National Regulatory System (NRA) assessment tool: indicators

Modules	Critical	Recomended	Information	Number
NRS	146	29	0	175
Marketing Authorization	65	5	1	71
Licence	38	11	1	50
Post Marketing Surveillance	68	17	1	86
Vigilance	34	6	0	40
Clinical Trials	46	12	1	59
Inspections	45	8	0	53
Laboratory	48	2	0	50
TOTAL	490	90	4	584



Prequalification of IVDs

- Major restructuring and programme reshaping in 2014
- In 2015 on-going work to further improve the programme
- New challenges: post-PQ phase (re-inspections, changes notification/assessment)



ToC / PQDx Product dossier

- Content wise, in general the differences between the TOC and the STED is greater granularity of existing STED requirements.
- WHO has revised its Dossier requirements to incorporate these differences.
- WHO dossier format (numbering) not yet aligned with TOC but the plan is that this will occur after the TOC pilot.
- WHO has a number of specific requirements not required in the TOC, probably the most important ones being submission of information relating to regulatory version, biological safety, and a number of specific QMS related documents.
- WHO has developed 2 classification matrices for Class C and D products, one for use by WHO PQ, the other for use as a guide for Member States developing regulations.
- WHO will not partake in the pilot due to staffing constraints.



MDSAP / PQDx inspections alignment

Alignment with MDSAP	Status	
Inspection Cycle	Initial (stage 1 and 2) Surveillance ? Special ? (follow up, changes / complaints) Re-inspection	✓ ? ? ✓
Inspection time calculation	WHO inspection activities differ	partly
Grading of nonconformities	Level 1 – level 5 (separate for QMS and dossier) Clarification required (escalation rules)	✓
List of nonconformities	Fully implemented	✓
Inspection report	Available report template cannot be used Activity based report was implemented (reviewed evidence, trail, persons involved & evaluation / conclusion) Training required	Partly



Ebola-related efforts

- WHO has developed an Emergency Use Assessment and Listing (EUAL) procedure for IVDs, medicines and vaccines (on web for comment, due 27 March)
http://www.who.int/medicines/news/public_consult_med_prods/en/
- Assessment based on risk based decision of limited data
 - 3 step process, QMS, dossier and lab (Verification of manufacturers claims of analytical data, and for RDTs, of clinical data)
- 23 applications for IVDs; 2 products now listed
- A head to head study of Ag RDTs tests advancing through the EUAL is being planned and should be completed within 4 to 5 weeks.
- Devices:
 - PPE specifications (TPP)
 - donations



IMDRF

International Medical
Device Regulators Forum

Thank you